Demonstrator Feedback

# Introduction

This type of feedback is reserved for demonstrators to bring **back any good practice/information** about what platform has been used, what approach has been taken, how it was organized, etc.

The feedback is organized into sections with specific information:

* **Licenses**
* **Interfaces**
* **Specification**
* **Functionality**
* **Processes**
* **Quality Parameters/Requirements**
* **Additional Info**

The intention is to identify good practices, resolutions, and software code that could be reused by other NAPs.

## How to fill the feedback

Please write down your recommendation and/or findings during the work on the demonstrator into the respective category. Follow the guidelines, in grey boxes. You could use bullet points, pictures, or linear text. If there was no experience gathered during the demonstrator work, indicate it in the category.

## What will we do with your feedback?

We will use the feedback to

* improve / update functional descriptions, introduce new functionality if needed
* improve / update description of relationships between roles
* draft specifications and recommendations for the modules / subsystems and interfaces

# Feedback

Demonstrator: YOUR INPUT HERE

Reporter: YOUR INPUT HERE

Date: YOUR INPUT HERE

## Licenses

*What license (for data/service usage and NAP usage (metadata)) types have been necessary to use in the demonstrator and if there is a lesson learned (e.g., what type is most useful or what licenses are needed for what part of the practice and how they were collected, etc.).*

YOUR INPUT HERE

## Interfaces

*What interfaces have been used with what software solution and what transport protocol, and if there is a lesson learned (e.g., what was found to be useful, most accepted, what software solutions are preferred, what security was deemed sufficient, what procedures, etc.).*

YOUR INPUT HERE

## Specification

*What data/service/metadata specification (standard or protocol specification, profile) has been used and with what outcome, and if there is a lesson learned (e.g., what specification for what data type, how was the implementation realized, shared code, tags used).*

YOUR INPUT HERE

## Functionality

*What functionality is needed at the NAP to make the demonstrator work and what functionality of the demonstrator is seen as beneficial to be adopted by NAPs.*

YOUR INPUT HERE

## Processes

*What processes realized by the demonstrator are relevant for the NAP and could be adopted in full or partially by the NAP. For example, registration of users, and how specifically (compare with current NRA)*

YOUR INPUT HERE

## Quality Parameters/Requirements

*Did the demonstrator yield any specific information about quality parameters of the NAP, or if there are any recommendations on the quality of the NRA service.*

YOUR INPUT HERE

## Additional Info

*Any other good practice that did not fit into previous categories but is beneficial for NAP.*

YOUR INPUT HERE